

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/669,916 09/25/2000 Mitsuaki Oshima 2000_1326 2601 11/28/2003 EXAMINER Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP LE, AMANDA T 2033 K Street NW Suite 800 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Washington, DC 20006 2634 DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No		Applicant(s)	
	09/669,916		OSHIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Amanda T Le		2634	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, how oly within the statutory m will apply and will expire e, cause the application	wever, may a reply be time inimum of thirty (30) days e SIX (6) MONTHS from t to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 August 2003</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-38 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-38 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from conside			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest since a specific reference was included in the firm 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest reference was included in the first sentence of the second control of the foreign language pro 14).	ts have been rects have been rects have been rectority documents have (PCT Rule 17.5 tof the certified of the covisional applicatic priority under the certified of the certifie	eived. seived in Application have been received (a)). sopies not received (35 U.S.C. § 119(e) he specification or tion has been received	on No d in this National d. e) (to a provisional in an Application eived. and/or 121 since	l application) Data Sheet. a specific
Attachment(s)		_		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🗌] Interview Summary (] Notice of Informal Pa] Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 11-03) Application/Control Number: 09/669,916

Art Unit: 2634

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 31-38 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims (4, 6) and (2, 3) of U.S. Patent No. 5,555,275 and 5,802,241 respectively. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other.

Omission of elements whose functions are not needed would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to implement the teachings of "means for stopping" of the patented claims in any communication system for "stopping" the particular type of signals being communicated.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 31-38 would be allowable if the double patenting rejection(s) as set forth in this Office Action is overcome.

Application/Control Number: 09/669,916

Art Unit: 2634

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amanda T Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-4769. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 A.M. through 7:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (703) 305-6714. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

AMANDAT.LE PRIMARY EXAMINER